UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/828,564	04/06/2001	Yogendra Joshi	361007-000012	6497
24239 7590 08/06/2008 MOORE & VAN ALLEN PLLC P.O. BOX 13706			EXAMINER	
			PATEL, NIHIR B	
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3772	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/06/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/828,564	JOSHI ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	NIHIR PATEL	3772
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IDENTIFY OF THE MORE OF T	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tired will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04.</u> 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) The 3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 4-6 and 23-38 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) 4-6 is/are withdrawn 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 23-26,29,32,33 and 36 is/are rejected is/are claim(s) 27, 28, 30, 31, 34, 35, 37 and 38 is/38 Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and according to the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and according to the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and according to the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and according to the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and according to the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and according to the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and according to the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and according to the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and according to the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and according to the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and according to the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and according to the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	n from consideration. ed. are objected to. for election requirement.	Evaminor
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bures * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Art Unit: 3772

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 23 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Allowable Subject Matter

2. The indicated allowability of claim 23 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s). Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims **23-26 and 32** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Terao et al. (US 6,005,772).
- 5. As to claim 23, Terao teaches an apparatus that comprises a central evaporator 6 (see figure 3; col. 7 lines 25-35) in contact with the heat-dissipating component 3 (see figure 3; col. 7 lines 25-35), wherein the central evaporator comprises a first plate having an interior major surface and an exterior major surface (see figure 3; col. 7 lines 35-67); a second plate, generally parallel to, spaced from, and similar in planar dimension to the first plate, having an interior major surface and an exterior major surface (see figure 3; col. 7 lines 35-67), the interior major

Art Unit: 3772

surface opposing the interior major surface of the first plate, with a central parallel plane passing through the space therebetween, the second plate exterior major surface in contact with at least a portion of the component and extending outside the limits of that portion of the component, wherein the interior major surface define an evaporator volume (see col. 7 lines 35-67); a condenser 4 (see figure 3; col. 4 lines 35-67 and col. 8 lines 1-5) in fluid communication with and extending around the periphery of the evaporator, wherein the condenser comprises a first wall extending from each evaporator plate (see figure 3), the first wall having an interior surface (see figure 3), a proximate edge and a distal edge, the proximal edge sealingly joined to the periphery of the respective plate, and the first wall extending perpendicularly from the entire periphery of each plate in a direction away from the central plane for a substantially constant distance, whereby the distal edge is substantially parallel to the plates; a second wall extending from each respective first wall, second wall having an interior surface, a proximate edge and a distal edge, the proximate edge of each second wall sealingly joined to an extending perpendicularly from the entire distal edge of the adjoining first wall in a direction away from the evaporator volume; and a third wall extending from each respective second wall, each third wall having an interior surface, a proximate edge and a distal edge, the proximate edge of each third wall sealingly joined to and extending perpendicularly from the entire distal edge of the adjoining second wall such that the distal edges of the respective third walls abut and sealingly join at the central plane, whereby the interior surface of the first, second and third walls define a condenser volume in fluid communication with the evaporator volume (see cols. 7 and 8), a liquid coolant partially filling the condenser and substantially filling the evaporator; and means for cooling the condenser (see col. 8 line 22-67).

Art Unit: 3772

6. **As to claim 24,** Terao teaches an apparatus wherein each plate and its respective walls are formed from a unitary piece of material (see cols. 7 and 8).

- 7. **As to claims 25 and 32,** Terao teaches an apparatus wherein at all orientations the evaporator is substantially full of liquid coolant (see col. 8 lines 55-67 and col. 9).
- 8. **As to claim 26,** Terao teaches an apparatus wherein the planar shapes of the evaporator and condenser peripheries are substantially rectangular (see figure 3).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 11. Claims **29, 33 and 36** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Terao et al. (US 6,005,772).
- 12. **As to claims 29, 33 and 36,** Terao substantially discloses the claimed invention; see rejection of claims 23 above, but does not disclose planar shapes of the evaporator and condenser

Art Unit: 3772

peripheries being substantially square or circular. It would have been obvious matter of design choice to provide planar shapes of the evaporator and condenser peripheries being substantially square or circular in order to improve the cooling process, since applicant has not disclosed that providing planar shapes of the evaporator and condenser peripheries being substantially square or circular solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose and it appears that the invention would perform equally well with other types of shapes like triangle or rectangle.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 27, 28, 30, 31, 34, 35, 37 and 38 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The prior art does not disclose a cross-sectional shape of the condenser along an edge of the evaporator and perpendicular to the central plane is generally rectangular, the condenser is generally symmetric about the central plane, and the dimensions of the evaporator and the condenser approximately satisfy the following relationship, where H_B is the height of the condenser, H_E is the distance between the interior surface of the second plate and the interior surface of the first plate, L_B is the distance that the condenser extends from the periphery of the evaporator, perpendicular to the respective edge of the evaporator, L_E is the length of the evaporator along one edge, and W_E is the length of the evaporator along an edge perpendicular to the edge having length L_E:

$$H_B/H_E = (2 L_B + L_E + W_E)/L_E$$

Art Unit: 3772

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to NIHIR PATEL whose telephone number is (571)272-4803. The

examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 to 4:30 every other Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Patricia Bianco can be reached on (571) 272-4940. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Nihir Patel/

Examiner, Art Unit 3772

/Patricia Bianco/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3772

Application/Control Number: 09/828,564

Page 7

Art Unit: 3772